

No. 302 OF 1897.

Collector's Office,

Sharon 25th Sept 1897.

Requests an early
reply to this Office
reference noted in
the margin.

This officer shot 273 & 295 dated
Sept 1871.
According to the
form of Dec 10, 1871, information of
the duly signed my Mr Malheur
with the date set for the same.

Collector.

* [FO 221-63,000-7-95]

9. 2027

உள்ளே இருக்கிறவர்களுக்கு எல்லாம்
உள்ளே இருக்கிறவர்களுக்கு எல்லாம்
உள்ளே இருக்கிறவர்களுக்கு எல்லாம்
உள்ளே இருக்கிறவர்களுக்கு எல்லாம்
உள்ளே இருக்கிறவர்களுக்கு எல்லாம்

ம. அ. அ. 15

[Signature]

~~உள்ளே இருக்கிறவர்களுக்கு எல்லாம்~~

~~உள்ளே இருக்கிறவர்களுக்கு எல்லாம்~~

[Signature]

4.10.99

[Signature]

No. 3 of 1887,

From,

The Nawab Sahib,

Savanur,

To,

The Collector and Political Agent,

Bharwar,

Nawab Sahib's Office, Savanur,

Sir,

31st of July 1887,

With reference to your vernacular letters No. 113 and 114 dated 15th and 29th of July 1887, I have the honor to call your attention to the following.

Under the sanction of Government Resolution No. 6693 dated 6th Nov 1885, the extra allowance of Rs. 50 per mensem has been granted to Lady Amirlikhisahab, not only because she supports to Shahajiha-Begum and also that she should leave Savanur and go and live at Bharwar, so that she might find no opportunity to get up a dispute with.

me on any pretext.

I have no objection to give Amir-
Bibi Sahib, The extra allowance, if she would
live at Savanur without giving or trying to
give me any sort of trouble. If she acts
against it, she shall be told to leave
Savanur and go and live at Bharwar. Then
too, she shall not have the Bungalow she
now lives in.

~~I have the honor to be,~~
Res,

Your sincere friend,

Abdul Zafar Khan
Wazir Sahib, Savanur,

X ~~Handwritten~~

X Vema: No 3 of 1887.

To, The Nawab Sahib
Savanur -

Sir -

In answer to your -
No 3 of 31st Ultimo I have the
honor to state that you have
overlooked the fact that Mr Middleton,
who was then in entire charge of the
State, handed over the bungalow
to the Lady Amirbibi. It is -
because you wish her to vacate
the bungalow that I have advised
that she should go to Savanur
and should still receive the
extra allowance. It was -
never contemplated that she
should live at Dhawar
and provide a bungalow
for

for herself - If therefore you
choose to raise any objections
to her going to Savanur, the —
present arrangement must
continue -

2 - In the event of any disputes
arising after the Lady Amirbibi
goes to Savanur I shall have
to decide what action to take,
but considering the expense
attendant on educating her
son, I think it right she
should receive the extra
allowance whether at Dharwar
or Savanur -

Yours sincerely
J. K. Spence
Political Agent

Dharwar } R.R.
4th August }
1888 }

215100

No 4 of 1887,

From,

The Nawab Sahib,

Savanes,

To,

The Collector and Political Agent,

Sharada,

Nawab Sahib's office Savanes,

Sd,

7th August 1887,

In reply to your letter No 3 of 1887, I have the honor to inform you that I have plainly stated in my Vernacular letter No 16 dated 12th July 1887, to your address, that the small bungalow was handed over to Lady Amis Biber Sahib in the following manner.

Mr Middleton at first refused to hand over the bungalow and made an order dated 5th April 1886, No 102, that Lady Amis Biber Sahib, should make private arrangements about her dwelling at Sharada. Upon her request a second time, he ordered that she should take up half the bungalow and that the other half should be reserved for my use. Upon her request a third time for the whole bungalow.

He issued an order dated 20th September 1884,
No 53 that the other half also should be used by
her but upon condition that she should vacate
it whenever I made visits to Bharwar.

Lately Mr. Bannerman ordered upon
Amir Nili Sahib's request, that she should occupy
the whole bungalow and that the big bungalow
should be kept vacant for my use.

I have requested you in my Veranahar
No 16 to get the small bungalow vacated as the
above mentioned orders were passed in contravention
of the G. Resolution, sanctioning the settlement
to L. Amir Nili & Shahaj Chahqueen, which does
not state that the State should provide her
a bungalow while at Bharwar.

From your letter No 3, I conclude, you are
of opinion that the bungalow should be got
vacated after allowing her to go to Savanur.

In my last letter I have not said that she
should not go to and should not receive the
extra allowance while at Savanur.

In it, I have requested you to advise L. Amir Bili Sahib to live here (at Savanur) without giving me any sort of trouble. If she is not advised to that effect, she may perhaps trouble me often and consequently I shall have to subject myself again to that sort of trouble, which Government have once freed me from and you shall have to devote your most important hours to deciding such wretched matters.

Under these circumstances, I hope, you will kindly advise L. Amir Bili Sahib, what is just and right & get the whole bang low resolved after deciding the matter.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,

Your sincere friend

A J.
Fauzdar Sahib Dron.

5850/10/10/10

To, The Nawab Sahib
Savannah -
Sir -

In answer to your
No 4 of 7th Instant, I have
the honor to point out that
your own letter shows that two
succeeding Political Agents
handed over the small
bungalow to the Lady Amir
Bibi. It is hardly my
province to undo the acts
of my predecessors. Because
you have now chosen to let
the large bungalow hardly
alters the case. Although the
Government Resolution sanc-
tioning

tioning the settlement to the
Lady Amir Bibi and Shahajih
Begum made no specific
mention of a bungalow at
Dharwar it did not cripple
the Political Agents right to
make any arrangement he
considered best - You none
agree to pay the extra allow-
ance to the Lady Amir -
Bibi if she goes to Savanur -
but wish me to advise her
not to trouble you - It is -
hardly my province to assume
that she is going to give you
trouble & the giving of
such advice would dis-
tinctly amount to such



an assumption which the Lady
might justly resent - Should
quarrels unfortunately arise I shall
know how to act but I must ask
you to trust to me to dispose
of the matter justly when it arises
but not to assume anything before
hand - With this expression of
my views I hope I may consider
the matter settled -

Dharwar }
15th August }
1884 }

Rev

~~John~~
90151512

Yours sincerely

J W Spence
Political Agent

Dharwar

No 67 of 1887

From,

The Nawab of Savanur,

To,

The Collector and Political Agent,

Bharuwar,

Sir,

Savanur Nawab Sahab's

Office, 29th October 1887;

With reference to your letter No 8
of dated 15th August 1887, I have the honor to
state that I agree to the views you have
taken about Lady Amir Bibi Sahab's case.
— I think it necessary —
as I ~~am not~~ do not ~~wish~~ to impose any
conditions about regarding her living at
Savanur and receiving the extra allowance.
I hope you will no more find ^{any} difficulty
to settle the matter.

Your sincere friend

Abdur Rehman
Nawab Sahab Sadrang

Sauwanur

10th of Dec 1887,

No 10 of 1887-88,

To,

J. K. Spence Esquire Acting Collector &
Political Agent, Bharwar,

Sir,

Lady Amisbiki Sahel wrote a letter to the
address of my Diwan, appending a copy of your
Veracular letter No 64 dated 2nd Nov 1887, ^{to her address,} with a
request ^{"extra"} that the allowance should be ^{continued} paid
to her at Sauwanur, in compliance with the
orders contained in your letter alluded to above.
On the case having been referred to me by the
Diwan, I have issued orders to make payments
of the extra allowance, although she
chooses to live at Sauwanur.

In your letter under reference, to her
address, it is stated that the small Bungalow
at Bharwar, belonging to the State has been got
vacated from her. That it has already been
put in my possession and that she would no
more find difficulty to draw the extra

allowance, at ~~Sauramur~~. while living at
Sauramur. This letter has not been forwarded
through me or my Bureau.

I therefore beg to request the favour
of a reply ^{in your favour} to my Vernacular letter No. 18 dated
12th July 1887, to the same effect. Because I
wish to have such a reply on my record.

Your sincere friend
Abdul Latif Khan
Vernacular & Arabic Correspondent.

No 20 of 1887/88,

Sauwanur Nawab Sahab's Office,

17th of July 1888,

From,

The Nawab Sahab,

Sauwanur,

To,

The Acting Collector and Political Agent,

Bharwar,

Sir,

- With reference to the 2nd para of your
Veruacular letter No, 33 dated the 2nd ^{June} ~~July~~ 1888
I have the honor to request your attention
to the following.

When I and my brother joined the
Bharwar High School, after completing our
English III standard, Mr, Middleton who was
in charge of the State, gave us short allow-
ances for the expenses of our education and
also he refused to give some other Biraders,
any assistance. The late Nawab Sahab stopped

our allowances, as soon as he was entrusted with the management of the State.

Amir Behu Sahab is not in pecuniary difficulties. She has been drawing Rs 150 per mensem from the State, including the extra allowance, besides Shajithabegum's income. She could easily get her only son educated out of this income. Mr Middleton has clearly ~~laid down in his memoirs~~ Dated 23rd Oct 1886, that Lady Amirthabai and her son have no claim on the State to more than their hereditary allowance of Rs 100. Daulat Khan has not completed even his Kanarese III standard. He is not therefore fit at present to join any English school. In the 2nd Grade Anglo-Vernacular school at Sawanur, boys are taught up to the English IV standard. Daulat Khan might study there for at least 4 years more. Amirthabai Sahab writes such letters to your address, simply with the intention of taking

money from the state on some pretext.

Under these circumstances, I am not
willing to give any allowance to Dattkhari
for his educational expenses. In this view
I hope you will kindly inform Amis Bhai
Sahab.

Your sincere friend

Abdul Fatah Khan
Naubat Sahab Sawanur,

No 14 of 1888/89.

From,

The Nawabsahib,

Savanur,

To,

The Acting Collector and Political,

Agent - Bharwar,

Savanur Nawabsahib's Office,

11th of February 1889,

Sir,

I have the honor to give you
some information of the Gadi of Ameer Bibi -
Sahib dated 29th of January 1889, to your
address, in which she prays that the civil
suit instituted against her by her ^{sons-in-law} ~~sons-in-law~~
Abdul Jubbarkhan & Abdul Majid Khan in
the Court of the 1st class Subjudge Savanur
i.e. of my Diwan, be transferred to your
court and for which you have been

pleased to ask ~~me~~ my opinion whether

The suit should be transferred to any

other court and if so, to which it should be

sent.

My uncles Abdul Karim Khan Sahab

(father of the plaintiffs in the suit) and

Abdul Rouf Khan Sahab (husband of the defendant

i.e. the present petitioner) were full brothers.

Abdul Karim Khan Sahab died when his

sons the plaintiffs were minors. A short

time before his death he made a will

by which he appointed his brother Abdul

Rouf Khan Sahab guardian of his minor sons

and manager of his estate. Having

managed the estate and protected the

minors for some time Abdul Rouf Khan

Sahab died when the plaintiffs were

still minors. Before his death he also

made a will by which he appointed

his wife the present petitioner; guardian
of the said minors and manager of the
estate. Accordingly the plaintiffs were
under the protection of Amer Nili Sahib until
they attained majority. The plaintiffs have
been married to the daughters of Amer Nili
Sahib the petitioner. The plaintiffs have
now instituted a suit against Amer Nili
Sahib in the Court of my Dewan to
recover possession of some of their estate which
they allege, still remains in her possession and
for the previous account and my Dewan has
proceeded with the case according to law. He
has no reason whatsoever to pass an
unjust judgment against the defendant the
present petitioner. I think that she has
been ~~ill~~ advised in making this petition by
Abdul Samshudin Khan of whose character
I have given a short description ~~in my~~

in my letter No 13 dated 30th of January
1889 to your address because she is always
guided by his opinion and this petition is
in the handwriting of his son.

If the case is decided against her
by my Division and she finds his decision
unjust, she is at liberty to appeal to me.
If I also decide it against her and if the
decision be in her opinion unjust she is
allowed to make an application to you
for reviewing the case. In case of your
concurring in my decision she may make
an appeal to the Government of Bombay
and it can exercise its extraordinary powers
of superintendence and control, if there are
good grounds for doing so, in accordance
with the rules as laid down in Government
Resolution No 155 dated 7th January 1889.

According to the said resolution, —

For this State there are no other courts of justice,
than the following namely — My Division's

Court of the first instance, my Court of original and appellate jurisdiction, your Court of ^{review} ~~revision~~ and the final Court of appeal of the Government of Bombay. So the case can not be transferred to ~~any~~ ^{some} other Court ~~from~~ that of my Divan and there are no reasons to do so. Besides this, as she is not a person of rank, you are not, as a Political Agent, bound to try this suit, under section 5 of ~~Reg. II~~ of 1830. If this case is transferred to any other court, special arrangement being made, other people also may follow the example and this course may undoubtedly cause unnecessary delay and expense to the opposing parties.

Under these circumstances I am of opinion that the suit against the petitioner should be tried ~~first~~ in the Court of my Divan as ever.

I have the honor to be,

Your sincere friend

Abdullah Indragiri

Kawab Sahel Sawanur

of the state. He nominated the petitioner's son successor to the Gadi because the latter was his wife's brother but he did not direct by his will that he was going to nominate the petitioner's son successor to the Gadi because I was not on good terms with him.

I have no reason whatsoever to advise the plaintiffs to file this suit against the petitioner or to take personal interest in the case.

I think that you would ~~also~~ be of opinion that you could not try this suit in your court, it being a court of review under the Government Resolution No 153 dated 7th January 1889. The full jurisdiction invested to me by Government in civil matters is not under Regulation XIII of 1830. She can not therefore raise, under the ~~author~~ authority of section 2 of the said regulation,

any objection to my trying the suit nor
claim that the suit should be tried by
you, as a Political Agent.

There is no reason at all for me to
my Dewan to do injustice to her. If her
request is granted, other people may also
follow the example on the pretext of calling
me and my Dewan as witnesses though
might
There be no good grounds for so doing.

A detailed information is given in my
letter No 14 of 1888/89 on the subject. & you have
been pleased to write in your endorsement
No. 83 that the case should be tried and
duly decided here according to law.

Under these circumstances, I hope, that
you will be again be of opinion that the
suit should be tried and decided here.

Your endorsement
No 1835 is
returned herewith.

I have the honor to be,

Respectfully,

Your sincere friend,

Abdoul Kader Khan
Kawal Sahib of Savanur,

India committee
Eight annas.

To,

14 E Winter Square C. S.

Collector and Political Agent, Bharwa,

The petition of Ameer Bilal widow of
Abdul Rofkhan Sahib Beradar Nawab
of Savnur respectfully sheweth:-

That your petitioners and her
menor. son have been sued for a sum
of Rs 3000 in civil suit No 2 of 1889 in the
first class subordinate Judge's court at Savnur
by Abdul Jabarkhan and Abdul Masjid Khan
who are the sons of Abdul Karim Khan (brother-
in-law of the petitioner and her possessor
of the land).

That the date fixed for presenting
a written statement by the petitioner was
23rd February last. But that on her application
that she was going to move the Political
Agent to withdraw the suit and try it
herself and that consequently she wanted

time, The Judge was good enough to
grant adjournment till the 6th Instant.

That your petitioner begs to state that
for the followings it is not right nor desirable
that the suit should be tried by the District
Judge.

- (1) That Abdul Kader Khan, the late Nawab
who was the Raza-in-law of the petitioner
was not on friendly terms with the
present Nawab.
- (2) That after the death of the late Nawab
disputes arose regarding succession to the
"Gadi" between the present Nawab and
the petitioner and consequently both of
them are not on good terms also.
- (3) That the present suit, which is
~~utterly~~ groundless, has been brought
on the advice of the present Nawab
and at the instigation of Rahmatu-
nissa Begum step mother of the plaintiffs
who is on friendly terms with the Nawab.

- (4) That The Nawab takes personal interest in the case.
- (5) That The Nawab and The Dewane are cognisant of certain circumstances in connection with the suit which makes it necessary to call them as witnesses for the defence.
- (6) That it would be very difficult for the petitioner to obtain evidence to prove her case if the case were to be tried at Savanur before the Diwan.
- (7) That according to Regulation XIII of 1830 and inmemorial custom ~~prevailing~~ prevailing in the Savanur state disputes between the Beradar Nawabs are heard and determined not by the Savanur state or its officers, but by the Political Agent or by some independent officer.

That for these reasons your petitioner prays that under Section 25 of the Civil Procedure Code

You will be pleased to withdraw ~~the~~
the suit/prayer the Court of the First class
Judge's Court at Savanur. and try it
yourself.

And that for this kindness your petitioner
will ever pray.

[Seal]

Prof Ameer Rishi widow of Abdul

Prof Khair Sahib Beradar Nawab

Sharwar,

2nd April 1889

of Savanur.

Shrinivas Karam

Pleader

No. 1835-

Forwarded to the Nawab of Savanur with the
Political Agent's compliments & with a request
that he will state very briefly for the infor-
mation of the Political Agent what the facts
are with regards to the allegation of the applicant
at an early date.

To !, turned

H. C. Wile

as Pol. Agent

Sharwar

2-4-89,

No 28 of 1888/89

Savanur, 5th April 1889.

Returned with this Office No 27, to the
Collector and Political Agent - Sharnoor
with the Compliments of the Nawab.

Abdul Kader Khan
Nawab of Savanur.

No 11 of 1887

Sawaneer

15th Dec 1887,

To name,

The Nawab of Sawaneer,

To,

J. K. Spence, Esquire Acting Collector &
Political Agent, Bharuwar,

Sir,

With reference to your Veruacular letter
No 12 dated 21st October 1887, I beg to state that
it is not, that allowances have been fixed
from the State for the annual Hattiyahs of all
the previous Nawabs of this State and their wives.
For the annual Hattiyahs even of 16 16. The
late Nawabshah's father and his mother, no
allowance has been fixed from the State.

If certain sums were apportioned, for the
annual Hattiyah of every ruled Nawab and
his wife, the State will have to spend a large
sum, every year during the month of Shabab.

of every Hindu, religious Hatyahas of all the
ancestors including the late Nawab Sahib and
his wife are performed from the State at
a certain expense. There there will be no
need of performing the Hatyahas of H. B. The late
Nawab Sahib & his wife separately. Lady Amrit-
Kishor Sahib says in her letter, that it is necessary
to perform annual Hatyahas according to the
Mohammedan law. The Mohammedan law does
not hold that Hatyahas of deceased persons
should be performed.

Under these circumstances, I do not find
it expedient to fix any separate allowances
for the Hatyahas of the late Nawab Sahib and
his wife.

Yours sincere friend

Nawab Sahib Lawrence,

N^o 7588 of 1893

Bombay Castle 19th December 1893

From

G. C. Withworth, Esq

Acting Secretary to Government
Bombay.

To

The Collector and Political Agent

Dharwar

Sir

I have the honour to forward herewith to you for delivery, a letter of this days date to Amir Bibi Shahab, wife of Abdur Rauf Khan Shahab the brother of the Habab of Savanur.

I A Copy of the letter is enclosed for your information

I have the honour to be

Sir

your most obedient servant

(sd) G. C. Withworth
Acting Secretary to Government

No 7407 of 1893

Camp Malaya Is Bencoolen 1893

To be forwarded to the Assistant

Political Agent, Bencoolen, with request

that he will cause the enclosed packet

to be delivered to Amir Bibi Shahab

as a memento

To be returned

Political
Agent

No 1821 of 1893

Charaxes of December 1893

To be forwarded with the accompanying

sealed packet to the Divan of Bencoolen

to deliver it to Amir Bibi Sahab & acknowledge

her receipt

To be returned

At Bencoolen

Assistant Political

Agent - Thorne

No 27 of 1888/89

From,

The Nawab Sahab,

Savanur.

To,

The Acting Collector and Political
Agent, Dharewar,

Savanur Nawab Sahab's Office,

At,

5th of April 1889.

With reference to your endorsement
No 1835 dated the 2nd instant, I have the
honor to state that the allegation of the
applicant Ameerliki that my Diwan and
I are cognisant of certain circumstances in
connection with the suit which makes it
necessary to call us as witnesses for the
defence, is utterly untrue, ^{for the following} because Abdul
Karim Khan Sahab father of the plaintiffs died
about the year 1880. Before his death he had
made a will directing by which that ^{the} ~~that~~

management of his estate should be looked after by Abdul Rouf Khan Sahib husband of the applicant, during the minority of the claimants. At that time I was leading a student life and residing at Bharwar.

I am therefore quite ignorant of any circumstances connected with the suit. My Diwan was appointed to his present post in October 1885. From this, you will easily conclude, I hope, how far he might be cognisant of any of the circumstances in connection with the case and how far the allegation of the applicant might be true. She makes this allegation with ^{the} mere obituary ~~that~~ of getting the case ~~should~~ be transferred to any other Court.

She further alleges that I was not on good terms with the late Nawab. This is untrue. No enmity existed between him & me. He was kind enough to take me & my brother into him, to the Raja Ram College ^{for our studies} at the expense

No. 17 of 1893/94

Savarna Sevak's office

1st January 1894.

Returned with compliments to the first
assistant-Collector and Political Agent
Dharwad. The sealed packet has been
delivered to Lady Smith's Sahib and
the acknowledgement there of is here
with enclosed as desired.

D. V. M. K.

D. V. M. K.

No 69 of 1893-94

From

The Dewan of Sarawak

To

The civil Surgeon Sarawak -
Sarawak Division office

23rd April 1894

In reply to your No 87, I have the
honor to bring to your notice that this State
is not amenable to pay the bills issued in
the names of Amir-bibi and Khan Bahadur
Dawlat Khan on account of medicines
supplied to them, except in the cases
of the undermentioned ^{minors} persons whose
estates are under the charge of this State & that
~~the same~~ bills have been forwarded to the address
Late Chowab's children (Three daughters
and a son (The minor Chowab)

Abdul Fasih Khan's sons. —

1 Abdul Fasih Khan 2 Namurath Khan
2 Ab. Akbar Khan 4 Ab. Murad Khan


and Hayat Khan's son (Minor)

1 Abdul Hakim Khan

The above mentioned persons are minors
and their estates are under my charge.
to any bills ^{if} issued in the names of the above
mentioned persons, they will be paid from
the state

I have the honor to be
Sir

Your most obedient servant



Miran of Saranur

No 87 of 1894

Sharwar 19th April 1894

From,

The Civil Surgeon Sharwar

To,

The Dewan of Saonoo,

Sir,

I have the honour to send you
herewith bills as per margin on account

Amerbi
Rs as P.
2-10-0

medicines supplied to relatives
of the late Nawab of Saonoo

Khan-Phadoor on the understanding that the
Dallal/Khan
Rs as P.
0-12-0

Charges for the same would
be paid for by you.

I have the honour to

Sir

Your most obedient servant
J. P. [Signature] M. D.

Surgeon Major
Civil Surgeon Sharwar

Recd

21/4/94

21/4/94

21/4/94

Below I.H.F. 1' no 5255 dated 7 1900

Mr. B. B. Sahas reference with out date July 1900

asking to give her all sort of info for perusal & to ensure that the 1st form can be filled in for

immediate effect of this is a d.

No. 31 of 1900

14 July 1900

Returned with compliments to the a.

Agent Sava in state.

2. I have been unable to find a.

1. Vernacular Prachina
commencing from this date
No. 19 (ending in 1900)
25-1-1900
11.11.1900
15.11.1900
2. Do not enclose from
1. 10.11.1900
2. 11.11.1900
3. 12.11.1900
4. 13.11.1900
3. Do not enclose from
1. 14.11.1900
2. 15.11.1900
3. 16.11.1900
4. 17.11.1900
4. Do not enclose from
1. 18.11.1900
2. 19.11.1900
3. 20.11.1900
4. 21.11.1900
- argue a & sent her with
for favor of perusal. It will
be clearly seen from them
that Mr. B. B. Sahas had
made a
in the habit of
with the result that no 2 of
them have been granted
The Political authorities
for no reason for same

The Govt. would, respectfully ^{particular} ~~draw~~ ^{direct} the attention
 of the a. p. agent to the ^{benefit} ~~benefits~~ by money bonus to
 Anderson included ^{respectively} in the first ^{fourth} ~~the~~ ^{the} ~~of~~ of the
 accompanying maharajas. ^{been} ~~the~~ ^{has} no precedent
 for any such ^{concession} ~~precedent~~ having been made to
 the Maharajas & Kharya as on similar occasions.
 If the request of the lady be ^{granted}, it will be cited ^{as}
 precedent ~~should be set up~~ ^{by} the other Maharajas also.
~~will follow the example~~ and the state will ^{have to pay for it} ~~be put~~ there by cause
 the unnecessary trouble ~~is in meeting the requests to the local~~
~~authorities~~ State authorities
 ch

10.11.18
 18.8.18
 18.8.18
 18.8.18

first born child. I have... as a sort of... at
the time by observing all religious ceremonies. These ceremonies
are observed for... 10 days. Distribution of...
amongst the noble & other is done with great of...
... in order to... the... ceremonies I
am in... of your help; on... 10th day a great
feast will be held. In honour of... day's ceremonies,
I hope that your Honour will... me...
... & give me about all sort of help. In case, the
state... anything to bear the expense, I am willing
to bear myself all the expenses of...
... For his... of... I shall ever have
... your long life & prosperity.

Laxminarayana...

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

Anant...

No. 5255 of 1900.

13-7-1900.

Forwarded to the... of...
immediate report through the... of...
...

W. A. G. G. G.

Political Agent

...

No 314 of 1900.

24 July 1900.

Returns with compliments to the A. P. Agent
Gavapur State.

2. Five Vernacular prakarnas noted in the

1 Vernacular prakarna commencing
from this office no 319 of ending
25-2-1893
with the A. P. Agent's no 133
4/25 March 1893.

2. Do - commencing from this office
no 585 of ending with the A. P.
24-6-93
Agent's no 895
26-7-93

3. Do - commencing from Amrit
Sahib's order dated 1st December
1896 of ending with the A. P. Agent's
no 592
6-1-97

4. Do commencing from Amrit Sahib's
order dated 11th March 1898 with the A. P. Agent's
no 843 dated 15-4-98

5. Do - commencing from Amrit Sahib's
order dated 18th Sept 1898 with the A. P. Agent's
no 214 dated 1-10-98

margin are sent herewith
for favour of perusal.

It will be seen from

them that Amrit Sahib
had ere long made similar
requests but none of

them were granted by the
Political authorities. The

H. S. would respectfully
solicit particular attention

of the A. P. Agent to the

memos made by Messrs Bonus & Anderson included res-
pectively in the first & the fourth of the accompanying
prakarnas. There has been no precedent for any such
concession having been ever made to other Biradars &
Khangadon since a occasions. If the request of the
last be now granted it will be established as a precedent by
other Biradars also & will then be cause unnecessary to
to the local state authorities.

— ၂၃၄၈ ဝေဟနရုပ်ပုံ —

ဝေဟန ဝေဟနရုပ်ပုံ ၂၃၄၈ ဝေဟန ဝေဟနရုပ်ပုံ
ဝေဟနရုပ်ပုံ ၂၃၄၈ ဝေဟနရုပ်ပုံ ၂၃၄၈ ဝေဟနရုပ်ပုံ

၂၃၄၈

no 338 of 1900.

68 August 1900.

Returned with compliments to the A. I. H. H.

2. The U. S. respectfully begs to state that
the orders of the Political Agent have been communi-
cated in writing to the British or to the Indian Agent.



(Indian Agent)

10 9 / 88 / 26

Sauwaur, Nawab Sahib's Office,

12th July 1886,

From,

The Nawab Sahib,

Sauwaur,

To

The Acting Collector and Political Agent,

Sharwar,

Sir,

In reply to your Vernacular letter
No. 33 dated the 2nd ^{June,} ~~July~~ 1886, I have the
honour to inform you as follows.

In your letter under reference you have
addressed me to let you know what sum
we are willing to pay for the Rismitla ceremony
of Shahajha begum daughter of the late Nawab
Sahib, if other Biradars ^{were} ~~have been~~ paid
in previous days, on such occasions.

When the State was under the management
of the Political Agent during the minority of
the late Nawab Sahib, pecuniary assistance
of short sums, has been given to some
Biradars who were in want of money, for
such ceremonies, not as of their claims on

state but for the sake of m_2 favor. Since Γ has

The late, the late, Nawab Sahib was entrusted with the management of the State, no one has been aided ~~see~~ for such ceremonies. The custom has been entirely put a stop to. Miradars have no claim at all on the State, to make such demands.

A life settlement of Rs 50 per month, has been made to Shajihabqum, besides 2 Inam fields, which yield an annual income of about Rs 150. This ~~sum~~^{income} is quite sufficient.

to her support and also to defray the expenses
Bismillah is the commencing day of it to learn
of such ceremonies. x For this ~~Bismillah~~^{Bismillah} ceremony,

a sum of Rs 50 is quite sufficient. and this sum, I think, that Amir Bibi Sahib, could easily spend out of the income of Shahajahabegum.

Besides This, Mr. Middleton has drawn up
a memo dce 23rd October 1886, in which he
has laid down That any payment in addition
to The said Grant depends entirely on my (Lawes's)
pleasure.

However I am willing to pay \$5.00 which are quite sufficient, for this ceremony in compliance with your desire, not as

of claim of Shajihabegum on the State but
for the sake of doing favor to her as she
is the daughter of the late Nawab Sahib, For
the 2nd para of your letter, I shall write to you shortly.
Yours sincere friend,

Abdul Haq Khan
Nawab Sahib Dewan

No 65 of 1892-93.

From,

The Diwan of Savanur.

To,

The Assistant Political Agent
Savanur State.

Savanur 20th April 1893.

Sir,

In obedience to your endorsement
No 340 of the 10th March 1893 I have the honor
of reporting as follows on the various points
raised by Shahajha Begum minor daughter of the
late Nawab Abdul Daulat Khan Dilerang Bahadur,
in her petition accompanying your No under
reference.

Under the sanction of Government
Resolution No 6693 of 6th November 1885 P.D. the
petitioner has been granted a life allowance
of Rs 500 a month

and 2 fields in Hattimattur as a life Inam.
Government have remarked in their
Resolution alluded to above that the settle-
ment seems to be both carefully considered
and sufficiently liberal. I have gone
through the state accounts and there is no
instance of a hawal's daughter having been
~~granted~~ a larger allowance. The allowance is
enough for the support of the girl and I am
at a loss to see what led Ameerhili Sahab
to run into debt on her account. In any
case, the state is not liable to pay off any
such debts.

I have hereto appended copies of Mr
Middleton's vernacular letters to the address of
Ameerhili Sahab - On perusal of them it will
be seen that all the points referred to in

paras 4-5 & 6 have been decided by him after full consideration and that there is no need of giving them any re-consideration

~~As for the~~ request for the entry of her name in the list of first class sirdars

I beg to state that only the hawab's widows of this state have been conferred the distinction.

Looking to the rank & position of the petitioner I am of opinion that her name may be entered in the list of 2nd class sirdars for place and precedence only but that the question be ~~post~~ deferred until she attains majority and makes the request in person.

The state has never granted such rights and privileges as are referred to in para 2 & last portion of para 3 of the application to any one of the


Lawsab's!
the daughters of ~~Lawsab's~~. ^{it has} ~~The applicant~~
and ~~this is~~ no

reason whatsoever to admit the applicant to
any special favor.

I have the honor of being,

Res,

your most obedient servant,



Secretary of Government

No. 16 of 1896-97.

From,

The Diwan of Savanur
State.

To,

Tardar Rao Bahadur Beheehardas
Viharidas Acting Diwan of Junagadh

Savanur Diwan's Office,

21st November 1896.

Re,

With reference to your letter No. 223
dated 11th May 1896 addressed to Abdul Shamsko
din Khan Biradar Hawab and your Vakils letters
Nos $\frac{4}{7-9-96}$ and $\frac{5.2}{17-10-96}$ to the address of The Political

Agent Kathiwar, I have the honor to

state that I have
~~state that~~ I send herewith in a registered

and insured cover the currency notes of

The Bombay Circle each of Rs one hundred bearing

number as noted in the margin in satisfaction

$\frac{W}{51}$	58552
$\frac{W}{37}$	84568

of the loan of Rs 200 which

you were kind enough to

grant to Mr. Abdul Subhanodin Khan Sahib

when he had been at Junagadh for arranging

~~about the betrothal of the~~ in April last.

The favor acknowledging the receipt is earnestly

solicited.

bring to your notice

2 I ~~further~~ beg to state that the delay in

making this remittance was unavoidable as

detailed accounts were not received in time

owing to the indisposition of one of the ambassadors

soon after his arrival has returned to this place.

3 The letter to your address from above.

Chamstotinkhem is submitted herewith.

I have the honor to be,

Sir

your most obedient servant



for Sir an of Savanur

No. 4 of 1896.
52-53

To,

Colonel C. W. H. Sealy,

acting Political Agent,

Kathiawar.

Sir,

I have the honor under directions from the Junagadh Darbar, to state that in the month of April last when Mr. Abdul Shamsuddin Khan, the brother of the Nawabsahab of Savanur, had come to Junagadh with a recommendation from the then Political Agent of Kathiawar for the purpose of arranging the betrothal of the Savanur Nawab Sahab's Shahbegum, he took a loan of Rs 200 from this State and gave promise

to remit. The sum is now after reaching
Savann.

2 That although he has been reminded,
more than once by a letter No 223 dated 11th
May 1896, through The Political Agent-
Savann, yet I am sorry to say that up till
now the money has not been remitted;
and therefore I beg to request you to be
good enough to write to the British
authorities there on the subject:

Rajkot-
7th Sep 1896 } I have to
(sd) Bhupatrai Trikamji
Jumagath Vakil

No. 3782 of 1896

Kathianwar Political Agency.

Rajkot 7th September 1896

Copy forwarded with compliments of

The Collector of Bharnur & Political
Agent for Sarnam for favor of considera-
tion.

Signed in English
Acting Pol. Agent
Bathinam.

No. 6669 Bharnam
22 September 1896.

Forwarded to the Director of Sarnam
for report with reference to this office.
Letter No 3574 dated The 25th May last.

H. B. Cappel
Collector & Pol. Agent

No. of 1896-97.

...

Sarnam 23rd November 1896

Returned with humble respects.

I beg most respectfully to state

That two currency notes of the Bombay
series were on 21st instant sent in
a registered cover to Sardar Ness Bahadur
Bhawanji of Junagadh in satisfaction of
the loan in question and that the whole
amount has been insured

Through The
Q.P. agent

Glynn —
Leaves of savanna.

Copy

No 223/07/1896

From Sirdar Rao Bahadur
Behecharas Haridas
Acting Diwan of Junagadh
To Mr. Abdul Shamsoddin
Khan, Biradar. Jawahar
Lawanur

Diwan Office
11th May 1896.

Sir I have the honour to
quest you to remit at your
earliest convenience the sum
Rs 200 (two hundred) which was
lent to you last month during the
time you were here for con-
sulting about the betrothal of the
Princess of Junagadh.

I have the honour
to be Sir,
Your most obed.
Sd/- Behecharas Haridas
Acting Diwan of Junagadh

Through the
The Political Agent Sawan

No 3574 Camp Hubli

Forward to the Diwan of
Sawan

2 It should be reported
whether Mr. Shoulb. is adding
Khansabek to given a/c
for all the sums has spent.
e. s. the same should be sent
for peruse.

3 In the meanwhile the sum of
Rs 200 should be sent to the Diwan
of Junagadh. And the fact re-
ported to the undersigned.

By M. D. Shippert.
Political Agent
Sawan

Dharwar
16 May 1896

20 Nov 92-93-62

20 Nov 92-93-62

(on) 21 May 1896

in 60

16-5-96

No. of 1896-97

Durum . . . November 1896

Returned with Compliments.

I beg to state that the
detailed accounts submitted by
Messrs. Abdul Shamsoodine Khan
& Venktramanacharya were
This office Vernacular No 39 (a/c &
Pol. Agent Mulki Awak) dated 12th
September '96. & were received & also
under the Assistant Police
Agents No 398 below upon
13-11-96
office Vernacular No 61
2-11-96

2 The fact of having remitted
the amount as directed in Para 3
above has been reported in detail
in this office No . . . dated 2 days.

Through the
assistant
Pol. Agent
Lawrence

- [Signature]
Durum of Lawrence

c/o 52 - of 1896
52 53

To

Colonel, G. H. & Sealy
Political Agent.
Kathiawar

Sir

No reply has been received
by the Junagadh State regarding the
request contained in this office letter
No. 4 - of 1896 dated the 7th ultimo. I
have the honour, under directions to
seek your favour for a reminder on the
subject to the British Authorities at
Savarni.

Rajkot

17th October
1896

I have seen
Sd / Bhupalarai Trikamji
Junagadh Patil,

No. 4384 of 1896

Kathiawar Political Agency

Rajkot 19th October 1896

Copy forwarded with compliments

complements to the collector of Sharwar,
and Political Agent for Pawanur
in continuation of this office no 3782
of 9th ultimo.

per yearly
no 7587

Proceeding Political Agent
Kathinawar

Sharwar
29 October / 96

Forwarded to the Sivan of
Pawanur for compliance with re-
ference to this office no 5574 dated
25 May 4. Subsequent reminders.

to be returned

Wd.

Pawanur

Political Agent
Recd

31 10 / 96

etc. of 1896 97

'Answer' 23rd of Nov 1898.

Returned with compliments

I beg to state that I have this
day reported in my ho. as to having
made the remittance in question.

J. L. L. L.
L. L. L.

Below L. no $\frac{4}{5-2-53}$ of 1896 dated 7th
September 1896 from Gunagadha
Vatil Bhupatrai Srikanyji to Acting
Political Agent Savanur, Diwan
of Savanur Savanur no $\frac{17}{23-11-96}$

no 206 of 1896

Camp Halgeri

Dated 30-11-96

Forwarded to the Political
Agent with compliments. the
delay was due to the auditing
of the accounts of expenses —
incurred in the journey. apologies
should be offered to the Gunagad
Darbar for the ~~be~~ delay. the
loan has been repaid.

28-11-96

Signed. F. Anderson
A. P. N.

gunagad state loan
interest 6%

dhc no 199
29-11-96